



# Nye vinde... Forordningsudkast 16. juli 2025

Flerårig Finansiell Ramme – EU's budget  
 Fokus bl.a. sikkerheds- og konkurrencesituationen

Tre overordnede forordninger relateret til LAG:  
 NRPP-forordningen, CAP-forordningen og  
 performance-forordningen




\*\* CAP and CFP interventions above the ring-fenced amounts may be counted towards the earmarking for less developed regions provided they are for the benefit of those regions

# LEADER

- Der **skal** være LEADER i fremtiden, CAP-forordningen art. 18
- Der er ingen øremærkning – og finansieringen skal ikke findes i CAP-forordningen, men skal finansieres i det, der hedder ”not ringfenced”.
- Hvor der bliver LAG vides ikke.
- Hvor mange midler vides ikke.

# Fordeling per medlemsstat i NRPP

## NRP Plans: Member States pre-allocated envelopes



# PROCES i Danmark

- Det er pt Udenrigsministeriet (UM), der overordnet forhandler forordningerne via EU-repræsentationen, der er fysisk placeret i Bruxelles.
- Ressort-ministerierne samler input fra de berørte styrelser. Nogle gange kan styrelserne være med til møder i Bruxelles.
- Ellers er proceduren, at styrelserne kommer med input til deres respektive departementer, som herefter sender samlede tekstændringsforslag og bemærkninger til UM.
- Performance-forordningen forhandles af Finansministeriet.
- Alt efter ny regering vil dette måske blive ændret.
- Der lægges jo op til at kapitlerne i den nationale NRP plan skal udvikles i samarbejde med regionale/lokale partnere.

# PROCES i EU-regi

- Der er etableret ad hoc arbejdsgrupper i Rådsregi inden for hvert område, der behandler de forskellige forordninger
  - WP HAQ – Working Party Horizontal Agricultural Questions – herunder CAP forordningsforslaget
  - AHWP NRPP – Ad Hoc Working Party National and Regional Partnership Plans
  - AHWP MFF – Ad Hoc Working Party – Multiannual Financial Framework (Agri ikke til stede)
  - MFF – Nego-box – European Council (statsoverhovederne) – som skal behandle det, der i forordningsudkastene er i firkantet parentes [...]
- Alle medlemsstater er repræsenteret i disse arbejdsgrupper og de kommer med spørgsmål og tekstrettelser til de enkelte artikler i alle forordninger.
- Også Europa Parlamentet skal godkende forordningerne og kommer med input til ændringer, men først i ”trilogien”.

# Proces i arbejdsgrupperne

Forordningen opdeles i blokke

<b>Block 5</b>	<b>Planning and programming of the plan</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Articles 21 to 25</li><li>Annex V, Annex VI, Annex VII</li></ul>
<b>Block 6</b>	<b>Governance and monitoring of the plan + general management rules</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Articles 49 to 57</li><li>Articles 58 to 64</li><li>Articles 74 to 79</li><li>Article 89</li><li>Annex IV, Annex IX, <del>Annex X</del>, <u>Annex XII</u>, Annex XIII, <u>Annex XVI</u></li></ul>
<b>Block 7</b>	<b>Agriculture, fisheries, international obligations, Aegean islands and outermost regions</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Articles 35 to 48</li><li>Articles 84 and 85</li><li>Annex XVII, Annex XVIII</li></ul>
<b>Block 8</b>	<b>Social climate fund and modernisation fund</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Articles 80 to 83</li></ul>

Kommissionen præsenterer blokkens artikler i arbejdsgruppen

## Community-led local development, LEADER – Article 76- 77

**Objective: continuation of the existing CLLD initiatives, also addressing requirements in Article 22(2)(h)**

### OBJECTIVES

- **Focus on** subregional areas, rural and coastal areas
- be **designed and implemented by local action groups** composed of representatives of public and private local stakeholders, in which no single interest group controls the decision-making
- be **carried out through strategies** supportive of innovative features in the local context, networking and cooperation with other territorial actors

### COVERAGE, SCOPE OF SUPPORT

- capacity building and preparatory actions supporting the **design of the strategy**
- **preparation and implementation of the operations** selected under the strategy, including cooperation activities
- the management, monitoring and evaluation of the strategy and its animation, including the **facilitation of exchanges between stakeholders and communication** of the strategy and the Union

### EXCLUSIVE TASKS OF LOCAL ACTION GROUPS

- **preparing the local development strategy**
- **building the capacity** of local actors to develop and implement operations
- drawing up a non-discriminatory and transparent **selection procedure and criteria**, which avoids conflicts of interest and ensures that no single interest group controls selection decisions
- **selecting operations**
- **monitoring progress** towards the achievement of objectives and evaluating the implementation of the strategy
- **communicating** of the local development strategy and the role of Union in its support

### LEADER

- use of **SCOs shall be mandatory for the costs of operation** of the LEADER local action groups
- Support for projects **not exceeding EUR 20 000 – lump sums**
- Support to **rural business start-ups for non-agricultural activities** – max EUR 100 000
- Use of **SCOs encouraged**

Det er Formandskabet – pt. Cypern (efterår Irland) – der er ”sekretær” på forslagene, og kommer med opdateringer af teksterne hele tiden, som diskuteres på møderne.

# MS forslag til konkrete omformuleringer

VSEC	CY PCY suggestions	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	Article 18 LEADER	[	[
	1. Member States shall provide support for LEADER to prepare and implement LEADER local development strategies under the conditions laid down in Article 76 of Regulation (EU) [...] [NRP] and as further specified in their NRP Plans.	[	[
	2. Member States shall support LEADER at least in rural areas with specific disadvantages defined by the Member States in the <del>NPR</del> NRP Plans.	[	[
	3. Member States shall provide support through LEADER for projects <del>implemented by local action groups</del> involving startups, value added capacity in transformation, diversification of farm activities, including agrotourism, direct sale of agricultural products and innovation.	3. Member States shall provide support through LEADER for projects <del>involving</del> <b>including, but not limited to</b> startups, value added capacity in transformation, diversification of farm activities, including agrotourism, direct sale of agricultural products and innovation.	DK notes that the wording might limit the kind of projects that LEADER could support. It is important to be aware of the fact that LEADER support is not limited only to farmer and farmer-related activities.
	4. Support provided from LEADER shall be focused on rural development fields with added value for farmers and forest holders, such as social, environmental, digital and economic	4. Support provided from LEADER shall be focused on rural development fields with added value for <b>rural citizens</b> , farmers and forest holders, such as social, environmental, digital	DK suggests to include rural citizens, so that there is no doubt as to the beneficiaries of the support provided through LEADER.

VSEC	CY PCY suggestions	Drafting suggestions	Comments
	<del>transformation</del> <b>transition</b> of rural areas, improvement of well-being of rural citizens, strengthening social capital.	and economic transition of rural areas, improvement of well-being of rural citizens, strengthening social capital.	
	Article 19 Support for knowledge sharing and innovation in agriculture, forestry and rural areas	[	[
	1. Member States shall provide support for knowledge sharing and innovation in agriculture,	[	[

# MS spørgsmål og Kommissionens svar

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>how audit authorities will (should) carry out audits if specific risks of irregularity, suspicion of fraud, corruption or conflicts of interest are identified (paragraph 7), if the EC was responsible for the ex-ante assessment of the entity?</li> </ul>
2. Managing authorities and audit authorities may rely on the results of the ex-ante pillar assessment carried out by the Commission in accordance with Article 157 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2059,	<p>NL (MS questions): NL welcomes this article that is already known from the fund specific regulations for the HOME Funds in 2021-2027. However, NL wonders</p>

480

NRRP Regulation (Block 3)

2025/0240 (COD)

Commission proposal	MS comments and questions
taking into account supervisory measures referred to in the third paragraph of that Article.	how the systems of the pillar assessed organizations, that are most likely still cost-based, fit within the performance based framework and FNLC in the new period. Can the Commission elaborate on this ?
3. For the purposes of the annual assurance package referred to in Article 58, the managing authority shall require the ex-ante assessed entities to provide documents on the implementation of the Union support, that may be equivalent to those referred to in Article 158(1) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2024/2059 including a management	

## Territorial and local cooperation initiatives

**Will the current local development strategies of LEADER be seen as integrated territorial development strategies? Can existing integrated territorial development strategies be used if these are embedded in the region?**

- The currently existing territorial development strategies can be used in the next programming period if they fulfil the requirements of Article 75 (2).
- One of key elements of integrated territorial strategies is their multi-sectoral character. It is ensured more often via the CLLD implemented in rural areas rather than LEADER strategies alone.
- LEADER/CLLD is proposed to be continued with wider options for more integrated local development strategies to include regional policy/employment/social policy and the related governance networks. Moreover, the NRP Plan with single audit/reporting and stronger overall coordination should make this option easier to achieve.

**Different lump sums are specified: €100,000 in Article 77 of the NRPP Regulation and up to €300,000 in Article 14 of the CAP Regulation. What is the reason for this differentiation?**

- The reason for the difference is that the intention of LEADER is to facilitate the implementation of smaller projects.



# Europa Parlamentet

- Den generelle modtagelse fra EPs side har været lidt lunken
- EP vil gerne have at budgettet bliver større
- De anerkender at forsvar og konkurrencedygtighed er nye prioriteter, men vil også gerne have, at samhørighed og landbrug stadig er meget vigtig.
- NRPP forordningen foreslår flere forenklinger, men EP er bekymret for, at dette undergraver gennemsigtighed, ansvarlighed eller demokratisk kontrol.
- De er også bekymrede for, at de mister noget magt fremover.

# Forordningsforslag allokeret til de forskellige komitéer i parlamentet

Vedr. NRPP forordningen er der etableret et fælles REGI, AGRI, BUDG udvalg, der skal forberede et udkast til en rapport, som forventes godkendt efter sommerferien.

(NRP rapportør: MEP K. Ressler (EPP/HR - BUDG); MEP A. Novakov (EPP/BG - REGI); MEP E. Katainen (Renew/FI - AGRI))

CAP rapportør: MEP N. Lins (EPP))

Tidsplan for arbejdet i år:

- Præsentation af rapportudkast: april
- Rettelser: september
- Godkendelse i fælles komité: november
- Plenar afstemning: december

Herefter:

- Når først EP og Rådet har deres eget første udkast klar, vil **trilog-forhandlingerne** starte.
- Forventet ikrafttrædelse 1. januar 2028?

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	include areas also used for non-agricultural activities as eligible hectares.	include areas also used for non-agricultural activities as eligible hectares.		
	Recital 8			
20	(8) As regards the areas used for the production of hemp, in order to preserve public health and to ensure the coherence with other bodies of legislation, the use of hemp seeds varieties with tetrahydrocannabinol content below 0.2% should be part of the definition of eligible hectare.	(8) As regards the areas used for the production of hemp, in order to preserve public health and to ensure the coherence with other bodies of legislation, the use of hemp seeds varieties with tetrahydrocannabinol content below <del>0.2%</del> <u>0.3%</u> should be part of the definition of eligible hectare.		(8) As regards the areas used for the production of hemp, in order to preserve public health and to ensure the coherence with other bodies of legislation, the use of hemp seeds varieties with tetrahydrocannabinol content below <del>0.2%</del> <u>0.3%</u> should be part of the definition of eligible hectare.  <a href="#">Text Origin: EP Mandate</a>
	Recital 9			
21	(9) In view of further improving the performance of the CAP, income support should be targeted to genuine farmers. In order to ensure a common approach at Union level for such a targeting of support, a framework definition for 'genuine farmer' displaying	(9) In view of further improving the performance of the CAP, income support should be targeted to <del>genuine</del> <u>active</u> farmers. In order to ensure a common approach at Union level for such a targeting of support, a framework definition for ' <del>genuine</del> <u>active</u> farmer'		


# NRPP timeline set fra KOM side 10. april 2026

<b>Adoption of the NRP Plan</b>	
<b>From now until end 2027</b>	Discussions between Member States, regions and the Commission on the preparation of the NRP Plans
<b>2026 (starting from June)</b>	Publication or updates of the reference frameworks by the Commission  See MFF Fiche 15 on the policy reference framework
<b>By Q2 2027</b>	Member States and regions organise the mandatory consultations of partners on the draft NRP Plans
<b>Second half of 2027</b>	Once the Regulation enters into force, Member States to start formally submitting NRP Plans in SFC  Commission to assess submitted NRP Plans and to propose to the Council to adopt NRP Plans
<b>31 January 2028</b>	Each Member State should have submitted a NRP Plan (Recital 29)  Deadline for submitting a request for loan support (Article 18)
<b>End July 2028</b>	Deadline for the approval of the plan by the Council in order to benefit from the prefinancing of the year 2028  Adoption of the Commission Financing Decision afterwards  Notification of the Commission Financing Decision to the Member State (legal commitment)

# Status ultimo april 2026

- Det cypriotiske formandskab (FMSK) har nu kommet med nogle kompromisforslag til de forskellige blokke.
- Rigtig meget af det, der omhandler CAP'en og som var med i NRPP er taget ud og er kommet med i den sektorspecifikke CAP-forordning.
- COREPER skal tage stilling til dette på to møder her i maj måned. Det er FMSKs hensigt at opnå en delvis generel indstilling om forslaget i Rådet, hvilket så være på mødet i GAC (General Affairs Council) den 16. juni 2026.

# På vej mod et 'nyt' landdistriktskriterium



... og implikationerne heraf